



Letter

Asymmetric, Organocatalytic, Three-Step Synthesis of #-Hydroxy-(E)-#,#-Unsaturated Sulfones and Esters

Kimberly S. Petersen, and Gary H. Posner

Org. Lett., 2008, 10 (20), 4685-4687 DOI: 10.1021/ol8020513 • Publication Date (Web): 24 September 2008

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 24, 2009

More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML



ORGANIC LETTERS

2008 Vol. 10, No. 20 4685–4687

Asymmetric, Organocatalytic, Three-Step Synthesis of γ -Hydroxy-(E)- α , β -Unsaturated Sulfones and Esters

Kimberly S. Petersen and Gary H. Posner*

Department of Chemistry, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

ghp@jhu.edu

Received September 2, 2008

MCPBA K₂CO₃ R EWG

EWG = CO₂Et, SO₂R ~50% overall ≥95% ee

ABSTRACT

Efficient and enantiocontrolled synthesis of γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated sulfones and esters are reported through the reaction of enantioenriched α -selenyl aldehydes with EWG-stabilized carbanions and then a one-pot selenide oxidation, in situ epoxide formation, and final in situ epoxide opening.

While studying the metabolites of certain vitamin D_3 analogues, we became interested in the synthesis of γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated sulfones and esters. γ -Hydroxy- α , β -ethylenic sulfones have previously been used as substrates in stereocontrolled processes such as conjugate additions and cycloaddition reactions, ¹ as substrates for preparation of enatiomerically pure polypropionate chains or amino alcohol units, ² and also as intermediates in alkaloid syntheses. ³ γ -Hydroxy- α , β -enoates have been used as sources of α , β -epoxyesters, which are highly versatile functionalities and can be converted into a number of compounds by opening

the oxirane⁴ and as intermediates in natural product syntheses.⁵ Use of both of these γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated systems as substrates for stereoselective radical reactions has been explored.⁶ General methodologies for the asymmetric synthesis of these systems, however, are limited in scope.⁷ Based on the utility of such systems in highly stereocontrolled processes¹ and as intermediates in natural product syntheses,^{3,5} we set out to design a simple, asymmetric general strategy for their synthesis.

^{(1) (}a) Barton, D. L.; Conrad, P. C.; Fuchs, P. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 1811–1814. (b) Trost, B. M.; Seoane, P.; Mignani, S.; Acemoglu, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 7487–7500.

^{(2) (}a) Carretero, J. C.; Dominguez, E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 1596–1600. (b) de Blas, J.; Carretero, J. C.; Dominguez, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 4603–4606. (c) Dominguez, E.; Carretero, J. C. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 7557–7566.

^{(3) (}a) Rojo, J.; Garcia, M.; Carretero, J. C. *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 9787–9800. (b) Carretero, J. C.; Arrayas, R. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 2993–3005. (c) de Vincent, J.; Arrayas, R. G.; Canada, J.; Carretero, J. C. *Synlett* **2000**, 53–56. (d) Iradier, F.; Arrayas, R. G.; Carretero, J. C. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 2957–2960. (e) Krafft, M. E.; Kyne, G. M.; Hirosawa, C.; Schmidt, P.; Abboud, K. A.; L'Helias, M. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 11782–11792.

^{(4) (}a) Rodriguez, S.; Vidal, A.; Monroig, J. J.; Gonzalez, F. V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 5359–5361. (b) Rodriguez, S.; Kneeteman, M.; Izquierodo, J.; Lopez, I.; Gonzalez, F. V.; Peris, G. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 11112–11123. (c) Lopez, I.; Rodriguez, S.; Izquierodo, J.; Gonzalez, F. V. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 6614–6617.

^{(5) (}a) Jamieson, A. G.; Sutherland, A. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1609–1611.
(b) Kotkar, S. P.; Suryavanshi, G. S.; Sudalai, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2007, 18, 1795–1798. (c) Varseev, G. N.; Maier, M. E. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1461–1464. (d) Taber, D. F.; Reddy, P. G.; Arneson, K. O. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3467–3474.

^{(6) (}a) Morikawa, T.; Washio, Y.; Harada, S.; Hanai, R.; Kayashita, T.; Nemoto, H.; Shiro, M.; Taguchi, T. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* 1995, 271–281. (b) Charlton, M. A.; Green, J. R. *Can. J. Chem.* 1997, 75, 965–974. (c) Ogura, K.; Kayano, A.; Akazome, M. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1997, 70, 3091–3101.

Table 1. Reaction Results from Scheme 1

| compd | | | A | В | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|------------|--------|
| (A, B) | R | EWG | (yield, %) | (yield, %) | ee (%) |
| 5, 14 | Bn (1a) | SO_2t -butyl (4a) | 84 | 70 | 99^a |
| 6, 15 | Bn (1a) | $SO_2Ph(4b)$ | 79 | 90 | 95^b |
| 7, 16 | Bn (1a) | COOEt(4c) | 77 | 73 | 96^a |
| 8, 17 | <i>n</i> -butyl (1b) | SO_2t -butyl (4a) | 86 | 91 | 95^b |
| 9, 18 | <i>n</i> -butyl (1b) | $SO_2Ph(4\mathbf{b})$ | 85 | 86 | 97^a |
| 10, 19 | n-butyl (1b) | COOEt(4c) | 50 | 67 | 95^a |
| 11, 20 | <i>t</i> -butyl (1c) | SO_2t -butyl (4a) | 82 | 63 | 96^a |
| 12, 21 | <i>t</i> -butyl (1c) | $SO_2Ph(4\mathbf{b})$ | 75 | 69 | 99^a |
| 13. 22 | t-butyl ($1c$) | COOEt (4c) | 85 | N/A | N/A |

 a ee values determined using chiral HPLC. b ee values determined through 1 H NMR analysis of crude reaction mixture of γ -hydroxy alcohols with (S)-Mosher acid chloride.

Recent reports on the organocatalytic, asymmetric α -selenenylation of aldehydes in high yields (>85%) and high enantiomeric excess (>95%)⁸ gave us an entry point to control absolute stereochemistry in our γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated systems. Such α -selenylated aldehydes could easily undergo an aldol-type reaction with an electron-withdrawing group (EWG)-stabilized carbanion to give a diastereomeric pair of γ -selenyl- β -hydroxy sulfones or esters. Oxidation of the selenide and treatment with mild base could give a β , γ -epoxide which, upon further treatment with base could rearrange into the desired enantiomerically enriched γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated ester or sulfone with the overall inversion of stereochemistry (Scheme 1, Table 1).

In order to examine the scope of this reaction, we chose three aldehydes (3-phenylpropanal, hexanal, and 3,3-dimethylbutanal) and three EWG-stabilized methyl groups (*tert*-butyl methyl sulfone, phenyl methyl sulfone, and ethyl acetate). Using the methodology of Tiecco and Marini^{8b} to make α -selenyl aldehydes $3\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c}$, we were able to react the crude α -selenyl aldehyde with lithiated EWG-stabilized methyl groups $4\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c}$ to give diastereomeric compounds 5-13 (Scheme 1, A) in 55-86% yields. γ -Selenyl- β -hydroxy sulfones and esters 5-13 underwent oxidation and spontaneous cyclization with m-CPBA and K_2CO_3 to give the transient β , γ -epoxide which immediately rearranged in situ to yield exclusively the γ -hydroxy-(E)- α , β -unsaturated sulfone or ester 14-22 (Scheme 1, B) in 63-90%

Scheme 1. Synthesis of γ -Hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated Sulfones and Esters from α -Selenyl Aldehydes¹¹

55-86% for 2 steps

Ar = 3.5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃ EWG = SO₂t-butyl, SO₂Ph, COOEt

yields and excellent ee's (\geq 95%). The (*E*)-geometry of the new carbon—carbon double bond in products **14**—**22** was confirmed by ¹H NMR spectoscopy ($J_{\alpha,\beta}=14-16$ Hz). α-Selenyl aldehyde **3c** derived from 3,3-dimethylbutanal underwent reaction sequence A (Scheme 1) in high yield with all three EWG-stabilized carbanions (**4a**—**c**), but γ -selenyl- β -hydroxy ester **13** derived from reaction with ethyl aceate (**4c**) decomposed under the reaction conditions used in reaction sequence B (Scheme 1). Also, substituted EWG-stabilized methyl groups such as propionates were explored, but no substantial selectivity in E/Z double bond formation was seen.

Our success using α -selenenylated aldehydes led us to investigate other leaving groups alpha to the aldehyde and whether a one-pot 3-step procedure might be possible (Scheme 2). Given the precedent for the enantioselective organocatalytic α -chlorination of aldehydes 10 we decided to explore the feasibility of such a system in the reaction

4686 Org. Lett., Vol. 10, No. 20, 2008

^{(7) (}a) Ogura, K.; Shibuya, N.; Iida, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 1519–1522. (b) Pyne, S. G.; Spellmeyer, D. C.; Chen, S.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5728-5740. (c) Fuchs, P. L.; Hutchinson, D. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6137–6138. (d) Ryu, I.; Kusumoto, N.; Ogawa, A.; Kambe, N.; Sonoda, N. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2279-2281. (e) Dominguez, E.; Carretero, J. C. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 7197-7206. (f) Burgess, K.; Cassidy, J.; Henderson, I. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2050-2058. (g) Trost, B. M.; Grese, T. A. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3189-3192. (h) Wnuk, S. F.; Dalley, N. K.; Robins, M. Can. J. Chem. 1991, 69, 2104-2111. (i) Barton, D. L.; Gero, S. D.; Quiclet-Sire, B.; Samadi, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1994, 5, 2123–2126. (j) Zoller, T.; Uguen, D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 154, 5-1550. (k) de la Rosa, V. G.; Ordonez, M.; Llera, J. M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 2000, 2991-3001. (1) Marcus, J.; Van Meurs, P. J.; Van den Nieuwendijk, M. C. H.; Porchet, M.; Brussee, J.; Van der Gen, A. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 2491–2495. (m) Pedersen, T. M.; Hansen, E. L.; Kane, J.; Rein, T.; Helquist, P.; Nourby, P. O.; Tanner, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9738-9742. (n) Rodriguez, S.; Vidal, A.; Monroig, J. J.; Gonzalez, F. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 5359-5361. (8) (a) Sunden, H.; Rios, R.; Cordova, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48,

^{(8) (}a) Sunden, H.; Rios, R.; Cordova, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 7865–7869. (b) Tiecco, M.; Carlone, A.; Sternativo, S.; Marini, F.; Bartoli, G.; Melchiorre, P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 6882–6885.

⁽⁹⁾ Column chromatography of the α -selenyl aldehydes, even using Florisil, led to erosion of enantiomeric purity.

^{(10) (}a) Brochu, M. P.; Brown, S. P.; MacMillan, D. W. C *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*. (b) Halland, N.; Braunton, A.; Bachmann, S.; Marigo, M.; Jorgensen, K. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 4790–4791.

Scheme 2. Plan for One-Pot Procedure

sequence. Individually, each step in the reaction sequence with an $\alpha\text{-chloro}$ aldehyde appeared to work, but a one-pot procedure could not be achieved successfully. Ultimately, this strategy was not pursued due to the instability and volatility of the $\alpha\text{-chlorinated}$ aldehydes and the superior results with the $\alpha\text{-selenyl}$ systems.

Confirmation of absolute stereochemistry was first achieved by reducing α -selenyl aldehydes $3\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ with NaBH₄ and comparing optical rotations with published values. ^{8b} An X-ray crystal structure was also obtained for γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated sulfone 15, which confirms the R configuration of the γ -hydroxy carbon (Figure 1).

Further proving the value of this synthetic method, scale up of the procedure to 1 g was readily accomplished with no erosion of ee (97%) and in 59% overall yield for γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated sulfone **14**.

 γ -Hydroxy ether- α , β -unsaturated ester **23** (Scheme 3) is a key intermediate in a recent synthesis of the biologically active alkaloid (+)- α -conhydrine (**24**).^{5a} Intermediate **23** was prepared in six steps and 32% overall yield from (*S*)-glycidol.^{5a} Using the methodology described here, we have prepared intermediate ester **23** in only four steps and in 47% overall yield and 97% ee (Scheme 4).

(11) Procedure for the Synthesis of 14. A solution of 3-phenylpropanal, 1a (90%, 1.2 mL, 9.4 mmol), and catalyst 2 (0.7 g, 1.2 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was stirred at rt under Ar for 30 min. The reaction mixture was cooled to -20 °C, and N-(phenylseleno)phthalimide (3.4 g, 11.2 mmol) was added. After the mixture was stirred for 2 h, the contents of the flask were filtered and rinsed with hexanes, the solvent evaporated (avoid heat!), and the crude mixture (3a) dried under vacuum for 1 h to get rid of all toluene. To an ice-cooled solution of methyl *tert*-butyl sulfone, **4a** (4.0 g, 29.4 mmol), in anhydrous THF (30 mL) under Ar was added *n*-BuLi (1.5 M in hexanes, 18.8 mL, 28.1 mmol) dropwise and the mixture stirred for 30 min. The reaction mixture was cooled to -78 °C, and a solution of crude 3a (9.4 mmol) in 10 mL THF was added via cannula. After being stirred at -78 °C for 1 h, the mixture was quenched by addition to water (50 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (3 \times 30 mL). The organics were combined, rinsed with brine (30 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, concentrated, and chromatographed (25% EtOAc in hexanes) to give 5 (3.4 g, 84% yield), which was used directly in the next step. To a solution of 5 (2.5 g, 5.9 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was added K₂CO₃ (3.2 g, 23.5 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and m-CPBA (77%, 2.6 g, 11.8 mmol) was added. The solution was allowed to warm slowly to rt and stirred for a total of 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was then added to a saturated solution of NaHCO3 (25 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 30 mL). The organics were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, concentrated, and chromatographed (30% EtOAc in hexanes) to give 14 (1.1 g, 70% yield) as a white solid: $[\alpha]^{24}_D$ –1.9 (c 1.35, CHCl₃); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 3483 (brs), 3061 (w), 2980 (w), 2929 (w), 1630 (w), 1453 (w), 1297 (m), 1272 (m), 1200 (w), 1108 (m), 835 (w), 702 (w); 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.27 (m, 5H), 6.95 (dd, 1H, J = 14.8, 3.6 Hz), 6.53 (dd, 1H, J = 14.8, 2.0 Hz), 4.65 (m, 1H), 2.99 (dd, 1H, J = 14.0, 5.2 Hz), 2.84 (dd, 1H, J = 13.6, 8.0 Hz), 2.09 (brs, 1H), 1.91 (s, 9H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 151.05, 136. 23, 129.42, 128.82, 127.14, 123.47, 71.26, 58.42, 42.85, 23.22; HRMS calcd for $C_{14}H_{20}O_3S$ [MH⁺] 269.1211, found 269.1211; mp = 81-83 °C.



Figure 1. Crystal structure of **15** (confirms R configuration at the γ -hydroxy carbon atom).

Scheme 3. Synthesis of (+)-α-Conhydrine (24) Using Key Intermediate γ -Hydroxy Ether-α, β -Unsaturated Ester 23^{5a}

Scheme 4. Formal Synthesis of (+)- α -Conhydrine (24)

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{1. } N\text{-}(\text{phenylseleno})\text{phthalimide, 2} \\ \text{toluene, -20 °C} \\ \text{2. LDA, ethyl acetate} \\ \text{THF, -78 °C} \\ \text{75\%} \\ \text{25} \\ \text{CO}_2\text{Et} \\ \text{25} \\ \text{CO}_2\text{Et} \\ \text{26} \\ \text{CO}_2\text{Et} \\ \text{26} \\ \text{CO}_2\text{Et} \\ \text{27} \\ \text{CO}_2\text{Et} \\ \text{28} \\ \text{(ee = 97\%)} \\ \end{array}$$

In summary, we report an efficient and generalized procedure for the synthesis of γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated sulfones and esters of high enantiomeric purity that is easily scaled to amounts >1 g. This three-step process works on a variety of substrates with high overall yields (\sim 50%) and excellent control of absolute stereochemistry (ee values \geq 95%). We have shown the application of this methodology to a formal synthesis of the natural product (+)- α -conhydrine. Undoubtedly, this methodology could be expanded to the preparation of other γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated compounds with other EWGs, such as nitro or cyano.

Acknowledgment. We thank the NIH (CA 93547) for financial support and the ACS (predoctoral fellowship to K.S.P.).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectra of γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated sulfones and esters. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL8020513

Org. Lett., Vol. 10, No. 20, 2008